



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,781	10/29/2001	Andrew R. Ferlitsch	SLA1031	5371
52894	7590	08/30/2006	EXAMINER	
KRIEGER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, INC. P.O. BOX 1073 CAMS, WA 98607			MURPHY, DILLON J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/002,781	FERLITSCH, ANDREW R.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Dillon J. Murphy	2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dillon J. Murphy. (3) Christy Mathews.  
 (2) Scott Krieger. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3,5 and 7-18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takeda (US 6229622).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant stated the functionality of the instant invention occurs in a non-printing device, thereby performing receiving, breaking down, tagging, interleaving, and sending at a device separate from the printing device. The examiner reiterated the prior art of record meets the limitations of the claims, and stands by the non-final rejection mailed 1 May 2006.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Kimberly Williams*  
**KIMBERLY WILLIAMS**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Dillon Murphy*  
 Examiner's signature, if required